

Internal protection alternative

Legal references	Jurisprudence
Article 8 QD	• ECtHR, A.A.M. v. Sweden, Application No 68519/10, judgment of 3 April 2014, paras 66-75 (safety in a part of the country of origin) • ECtHR, K.A.B. v. Sweden, Application No 886/11, judgment of 5 September 2013, paras 80-85 (accessibility to a part of the country of origin, reasonableness to settle) • ECtHR, D.N.M. v. Sweden, Application No 28379/11, judgment of 27 June 2013, paras 57-59 (safety in a part of the country of origin, reasonableness to settle) • ECtHR, S.A. v. Sweden, Application No 66523/10, judgment of 27 June 2013, paras 56-58 (safety in a part of the country of origin) • ECtHR, M.Y.H. and others v. Sweden, Application No 50859/10, judgment of 27 June 2013, paras 68-73 (reasonableness to settle) • ECtHR, Sufi and Elmi v. the United Kingdom, Applications Nos 8319/07 and 11449/07, judgment of 28 November 2011, paras 249 and 265-296 (accessibility to a part of the country of origin, reasonableness to settle) • ECtHR, Salah Sheekh v. the Netherlands, Application No 1948/04, judgment of 11 January 2007, paras 140-148 (clan protection, individual circumstances) • ECtHR, M.K.N. v. Sweden, Application No 72413/10, judgment of 27 June 2013, paras 35-44 (religious affiliation, individual circumstances)